

# 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers Program

21<sup>st</sup> CCLC Reviewer Scoring Report – Cohort 10



<b>Applicant Name:</b>	<b>Blue River Services</b>
<b>Proposal Ranking:</b>	9
<b>Average Score</b>	117.3/ 125

## Proposal Strengths:

- I really like the SEL development of your program. I've not seen a program before where social work and family therapy was integrated and I really love that comprehensive approach. Your MOUs were varied and added a lot of programmatic value to your program.
- Organization presented a complete and comprehensive proposal to serve youth K-8th grade by addressing a need for SEL programming to strengthen academic achievement. Organization presented strong partnerships, intricate program design, and evaluation.
- Met the IDOE criteria of the schools having greater than 40% free/reduced lunch rate. The number of youth below grade level in ELA and Math is significant at both schools. High poverty rates at both schools. Both counties have low rates of those pursuing beyond an HS diploma. All staff being engaged in the CYC Credentialing process supports the current program and the staffs ability to have a certification recognized across the state.

## Proposal Weaknesses:

- I was only concerned that youth weren't involved in the needs assessment, that carpooling could be complicated, and that it could be hard to hold parents accountable to the four family event requirement.
- The MOU for SCS didn't include the \$25,020 budgeted for transportation reimbursement. There is no MOU with RBR Alliance which is mentioned in the budget, but not elsewhere in the application. The transportation plan from NHMS doesn't include a school bus, which could impact the number and types of students that can participate.

## Top Areas Where Points Were Lost:

- Need for Project
- Professional Development
- Budget
- MOU's